



A The effects of DM with and without metformin for HCC risk

Groups	No. at risk	5-year	^{b*} aSHR (95% CI) <i>P</i> -value	
		cumulative		
		HCC (%)		
DM	86	29.7	2.45 (1.95-4.24)	2.28 (1.48-3.46)
metformin non-use			<i>P</i> <0.001	P<0.001
DM	792	8.1	1.12 (0.99-1.59)	1
metformin use			P=0.054	
Non-DM	1,901	11.1	1	0.89 (0.63-1.01)
				P=0.054

		5-year	b*aS	^{b*} aSHR	
Groups	No. at risk	cumulative	(95% CI) P-value 0.82 (0.49-1.38) 1.84 (1.02-3.189)		
LUD	02	HCC (%)			
HLP	82	12.7	0.82 (0.49-1.38)	1.84 (1.02-3.189)	
statin non-use			P=0.451	P=0.032	
HLP	687	5.1	0.43 (0.34-0.63)	1	
statin use			P<0.001		
Non-HLP	2,010	12.7	1	2.30 (1.60-2.90)	
				D<0.001	

B The effects of HLP with and without statin for HCC risk.

Supplementary Figure 2. The effects of DM with and without metformin (A) and HLP with and without statin (B) on the new-onset HCC among CHC patients who failed antiviral therapy. Metformin or statin use was redefined as ever metformin or statin use before or after end-of-treatment. (A) ^aAfter considering death as a competing risk, a Kaplan–Meier plot was constructed using Gray's cumulative incidence method. ^bAll SHRs (95% CIs) and p-values were calculated using the Cox sub-distribution hazards method. *Adjusted for age, sex, LC, HCV GT1, HCV RNA, aspirin, and HLP/statin. DM, diabetes mellitus; HLP, hyperlipidemia; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; SHR, sub-distribution hazard ratio; LC, liver cirrhosis; GT, genotype. (B) ^aAfter considering death as a competing risk, a Kaplan–Meier plot was constructed using Gray's cumulative incidence method. ^bAll SHRs (95% CIs) and *P*-values were calculated using the Cox sub-distribution hazards method. *Adjusted for age, sex, LC, HCV GT1, HCV RNA, aspirin, and DM/metformin. DM, diabetes mellitus; HLP, hyperlipidemia; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; SHR, sub-distribution hazard ratio; LC, liver cirrhosis; GT, genotype.